Iranian Journal of Neurology

Letter to Editor

Iran J Neurol 2016; 15(3): 173-174

Carpal compression, Phalen's and Tinel's test: Which one is more suitable for carpal tunnel syndrome?

Received: 26 Feb 2016 Accepted: 03 May 2016

Mostafa Almasi-Doghaee¹, Reza Boostani², Morteza Saeedi², Saeed Ebrahimzadeh³, Amir Moghadam-Ahmadi⁴, Mohammad Javad Saeedi-Borujeni⁵

¹ Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

³ Department of Biostatistics, School of Health, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

⁴ Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

⁵ Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Neurosciences Research Center, Alzahra Hospital, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Keywords

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome; Phalen's Test; Tinel's Test; Carpal Compression Test

One of the main causes of hand dysfunction is carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) and because of its high occurrence, early diagnosis is very important and may reduce disability caused by this condition.¹ In addition to paraclinical procedures including electrodiagnostic (EDX) studies and median nerve sonography,² a variety of clinical tests have been suggested for assessment of CTS among them, Tinel's test (TT) and Phalen's test (PT) are the most popular ones.³

Previous studies revealed differences in sensitivity and specificity with values of 61-91 percent and 33-93 percent for the PT, and 41-74 percent and 80-91 percent for the TT, respectively.³ Carpal compression test (CCT) was introduced recently and had greater sensitivity and specificity than TT and PT in some studies.^{4,5} In this study, we aimed to compare the efficacy of CCT with TT and PT in diagnosis of CTS.

In our study, all the patients suspected to suffer from CTS referred to the electrodiagnostic ward of Ghaem Hospital (Mashhad, Iran) from 2011 to 2012 were included. After taking detailed medical history and performing physical examination including the PT, TT and CCT, all the data were gathered in a checklist for each patient. EDX studies were conducted and definitive diagnosis of CTS was based on the results (by EDX criteria). Patients were divided into two groups of CTS and non-CTS.

Statistical analysis was performed using independent-sample t and chi-square tests via SPSS software (version 15, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P-value of less than 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. The sensitivities and specificities of the PT, TT and CCT were gained via comparison with the electrodiagnostic tests, as gold standard.

Corresponding Author: Mostafa Almasi-Doghaee Email: a_mostafa108@yahoo.com Of 89 patients, CTS was diagnosed in 80.9%. In terms of gender, age and employment, there was no statistical significant difference between the CTS and non-CTS groups (P > 0.050 for all).

The frequency of CCT-positive patients was statistically greater in CTS group (80.6%) than non-CTS one (47.1%) (P = 0.008). The frequency of the PT-positive patients was greater in non-CTS group (59.7 vs. 64.7%) with no statistically significant difference (P = 0.464).

The calculated sensitivity and specificity were 80.6 and 52.9% for CCT, 59.7 and 35.3% for PT, and 65.3 and 47.1% for TT, respectively.

In receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis, the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.67 (95% CI: 0.51-0.82, P = 0.032) for CCT, 0.56 for TT and 0.48 for PT (P > 0.050 for both) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis of carpal compression test (CCT), Tinel's test (TT) and Phalen's test (PT) for diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS)

In non-CTS group, there was a moderate negative agreement between the TT and CCT (k = -0.524; P = 0.030). There was a moderate positive agreement between the PT and TT in CTS group (k = 0.409; P < 0.001).

In our study, TT and CCT were more positive

References

- Kuo TT, Lee MR, Liao YY, Chen JP, Hsu YW, Yeh CK. Assessment of median nerve mobility by ultrasound dynamic imaging for diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome. PLoS One 2016; 11(1): e0147051.
- Mehrpour M, Mirzaasgari Z, Rohani M, Safdarian M. Diagnostic value of median nerve ultrasonography for screening of carpal tunnel syndrome in hypothyroid

patients: A cross-sectional study. Iran J Neurol 2016; 15(2): 70-4.

 Mohamed FI, Hassan AA, Abdel-Magied RA, Wageh RN. Manual therapy intervention in the treatment of patients with carpal tunnel syndrome: median nerve mobilization versus medical treatment. Egypt Rheumatol Rehabil 2016; 43(1): 27-34.

in CTS group and PT was more positive in non-CTS group but just positive CCT was statistically different between CTS and non-CTS groups. ROC cure analysis showed the accuracy of CCT for diagnosis of CTS is higher than TT and PT. AUC for TT and PT were around 0.5 which means that these tests cannot help us in depicting patients with CTS. Previous studies reported contradictory results about the sensitivity and specificity of CCT, but in most of them, they were greater than the sensitivities and specificities of the TT and PT.^{4,5} Our results confirm the importance of CCT in diagnosis of CTS.

One another point is that the moderate negative agreement between the TT and CCT in non-CTS group means that both the tests would rarely be positive among them. In other words, if both CCT and TT were positive, the diagnosis is more likely to be CTS. In addition, the positive agreement between the PT and TT in CTS group means that performing one of these tests is sufficient for patients suspected to suffer from CTS; because if one of them is positive, it is very probable that the other one is also positive and vice versa.

In conclusion, as the sensitivity and specificity of the CCT are greater than those of the TT and PT, we recommend routine use of CCT for screening the patients suspected to CTS.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this study.

Acknowledgments

None.

How to cite this article: Almasi-Doghaee M, Boostani R, Saeedi M, Ebrahimzadeh S, Moghadam-Ahmadi A, Saeedi-Borujeni MJ. Carpal compression, Phalen's and Tinel's test: Which one is more suitable for carpal tunnel syndrome? Iran J Neurol 2016; 15(3): 173-4.

- Amirfeyz R, Clark D, Parsons B, Melotti R, Bhatia R, Leslie I, et al. Clinical tests for carpal tunnel syndrome in contemporary practice. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2011; 131(4): 471-4.
- Fowler JR, Cipolli W, Hanson T. A comparison of three diagnostic tests for carpal tunnel syndrome using latent class analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015; 97(23): 1958-61.